IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

NICE SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and)	
NICE SYSTEMS, LTD., an Israeli Corporation,)	
Plaintiffs,)	Civil Action No. 06-311-JJF
WITNESS SYSTEMS, INC, a Delaware Corporation,)	
Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF KEVIN HEGEBARTH

TO: William J. Marsden, Jr. Kyle Wagner Compton Fish & Richardson P.C. 919 N. Market Street, Suite 1100 P.O. Box 1114 Wilmington, DE 19899-1114

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rules 26, 30, and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the attached subpoena, as well as the attached Notice of Deposition, all served on April 19, 2007, with checks for witness fees and travel expenses provided April 23, 2007. Plaintiffs NICE Systems, Inc. and NICE Systems, Ltd. (collectively "NICE") will take the deposition of Kevin Hegebarth at Ashe, Rafuse & Hill, LLP, 1355 Peachtree Street, N.E. on May 18, 2007 at 10:00 a.m., or at such other time and place as the parties may agree, and continuing thereafter until completed.

The deposition will be conducted under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules for the District of Delaware, for the purpose of discovery, for use at trial and for

065251.1001 DB02:5936868.1

such other purposes as are permitted at law. This deposition will be recorded by videographic and/or ordinary stenographic methods, before a Notary Public or other person duly authorized by law to administer oaths.

Dated: April 25, 2007

-¥QUNG/CONAWAY \$TAKGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

Melanie K. Sharp (No. 2501

Karen E. Keller (No. 4489) The Brandywine Building 1000 West Street, 17th/Floor

Wilmington, DE/ 19801

P.O. Box 391 Wilmington, DE 19899 (302)571-6681 msharp@ycst.com

KAYE SCHOLER LLP Scott G. Lindvall Joseph M. Drayton 425 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022 (212)836-8000

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nice Systems, Inc. and Nice Systems, Ltd.

DB02:5936868.1 065251.1001

Case 1:06-cv-00311-JJF Document 122 Filed 04/25/2007 Page 3 of 10

KAYE SCHOLER LLP

Jason P. Frank 212 836-7337 Fax 212 836-6746 Jfrank@kayescholer.com

425 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022-3598 212 836-8000 Fax 212 836-8689 www.kayescholer.com

April 19, 2007

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Daniel A. Kent, Esq. Fish & Richardson P.C. 1180 Peachtree Street, N.E., 21st Floor Atlanta, Georgia 30309

Re: NICE Systems, Inc. and NICE Systems, Ltd. v. Witness

Systems, Inc., 06-CV-311 District of Delaware

Dear Mr. Kent:

Enclosed are seventeen (17) Notices of Deposition and Subpoenas directed at current and former employees of Witness Systems, Inc.: Messrs. Nick Discombe, William Evans, Ed Murray, John Bourne, Duane Wright, Daniel Spohrer, Joe Watson, Scott Bluman, Andy Davies, Phil Dawes, Nathan George, Richard Heap, Kevin Hegebarth, Ryan Hollenbeck, Jeff Iannone, Jamie Williams, and Ms. Nancy Treaster.

It is NICE's understanding that Witness Systems, Inc. will accept service of these subpoenas, based on Witness' statements in its initial disclosures. Please notify NICE immediately if this is not correct.

To the extent that any witness is not available for the dates and times for any of the depositions as written on the subpoenas, please contact either myself or Joseph Drayton to determine a mutually agreed upon date or time to take these depositions.

Very truly yours,

*J*ason P. Frank

Encls: (17)

cc: William J. Marsden

Kyle Wagner Compton

Nagendra Setty Noah Graubart John Hamann

ws2908.tmp

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

NICE SYSTEMS, INC. and

NICE SYSTEMS LTD.,

Civil Action No. 06-311-JJF

Plaintiffs,

v.

WITNESS SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant.

----X

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF KEVIN HEGEBARTH

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rules 26, 30, and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the attached subpoena, Plaintiffs NICE Systems, Inc. and NICE Systems, Ltd. (collectively "NICE") will take the deposition of Kevin Hegebarth at the office of Ashe, Rafuse & Hill, LLP, 1355 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 500, South Tower, Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3232, on May 18, 2007 at 10:00 a.m., or at such other time and place as the parties may agree, and continuing thereafter until completed.

The deposition will be conducted under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules for the District of Delaware, for the purpose of discovery, for use at trial and for such other purposes as are permitted at law. This deposition will be recorded by videographic and/or ordinary stenographic methods, before a Notary Public or other person duly authorized by law to administer oaths.

Dated: April 19, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Josy W. Ingersoll Melanie K. Sharp

Karen E. Keller

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT

& TAYLOR, LLP

The Brandywine Building, 17th Floor 1000 West Street P.O. Box 391

Wilmington, DE 19899-0391

Scott G. Lindvall (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Daniel P. DiNapoli (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Joseph M. Drayton (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Jason Frank (*Pro Hac Vice*)
KAYE SCHOLER LLP
425 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022-3598

Attorneys for Plaintiffs NICE Systems, Inc. and NICE Systems, Ltd.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jason Frank, hereby certify that on April 19, 2007, I caused a true and complete copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF DEPOSITION AND SUBPOENA OF KEVIN HEGEBARTH to be served upon the parties to this action as shown on the attached Service List.

Dated: April 19, 2007

SERVICE LIST NICE SYSTEMS, INC. and NICE SYSTEMS LTD. v. WITNESS SYSTEMS, INC. Case No. 1:06-CV-00311-JFF

Via Facsimile

Via Email

Via First Class Mail

Via Federal Express

Attorneys for Defendant Witness Systems, Inc.

William J. Marsden, Jr. Kyle Wagner Compton FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 919 N. Market Street, Suite 1100 P.O. Box 1114 Wilmington, DE 19899-1114 Telephone: (302) 652-5070

Nagendra Setty
Daniel A. Kent
Noah Graubart
John Hamann
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
1180 Peachtree Street, N.E.
21st Floor
Atlanta, GA 30309
Telephone: (404) 892-5005

Issued by the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern	DISTRICT OF	Georgia
NICE Systems, Inc. and NICE Systems, Ltd. $$\mathrm{V}.$$	SUBPOENA IN	A CIVIL CASE
Witness Systems, Inc.	Case Number: 1 C	6-CV-311-JJF Dist of Delaware
TO: Kevin Hegebarth c/o Fish & Richardson P.C. 1180 Peachtree Street, N.E., 21st Floor Atlanta, Georgia 30309 ☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the U	nited States District court at the place,	date, and time specified below to
testify in the above case.		
PLACE OF TESTIMONY		COURTROOM
		DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the plin the above case. (This deposition will be record		
PLACE OF DEPOSITION Ashe, Rafuse & Hill, LLP, 1355 Peachtree Street, N.E Georgia 30309-3232	., Suite 500, South Tower, Atlanta,	DATE AND TIME 5/18/2007 10:00 am
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and pe place, date, and time specified below (list document of the place).		wing documents or objects at the
PLACE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE AND TIME
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspecti	ion of the following premises at the date	te and time specified below.
PREMISES		DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subp directors, or managing agents, or other persons who con matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules	nsent to testify on its behalf, and may set for	
issuing officer's signature and title (indicate if	Allega D is	DATE 4/19/2007
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER Jason Frank, Kaye Scholer, LLP, 425 Park Avenue	R	8000

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), on next page)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

PROOF OF SERVICE		
	DATE	PLACE
SERVED	4/19/2007	Fish & Richardson P.C., 1180 Peachtree St., NE, 21st Fl., Atlanta, GA 30309 (per initial disclosures)
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)		MANNER OF SERVICE
Daniel A. Kent		Federal Express and E-mail
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)		TITLE
Jason P. Frank		Attorney for Plaintiffs
<u> </u>	DECLA	ARATION OF SERVER
I declare under penal in the Proof of Service	ity of perjury under the laws of is true and correct.	f the United States of America that the foregoing information contained
Executed on	4/25/2007 DATE	SIGNATURE OF SERVER Kayel-Scholer LLP, 425 Park Avenue

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or for na requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except the subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disc osure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject

to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

New York, New York 10022

(1) (A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable.

(C) A person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) A person responding to a subpoena need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On notion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) (A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

(B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3)(A).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Melanie K. Sharp, Esquire, hereby certify that on April 25, 2007, I caused to be electronically filed a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, Notice of Deposition of Kevin Hegebarth, with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification that such filing is available for viewing and downloading to the following counsel of record:

William J. Marsden, Jr., Esquire Kyle Wagner Compton, Esquire Fish & Richardson, P.C. 919 North Market Street, Suite 1100 P.O. Box 1114 Wilmington, DE 19899-1114

I further certify that on April 25, 2007, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served by hand delivery on the above-listed counsel of record and on the following non-registered participants in the manner indicated:

BY E-MAIL

Noah C. Graubart (graubart@fr.com)

Nagendra Setty (setty@fr.com)

John Hamann (haman@fr.com)

Daniel A. Kent (kent@fr.com)

Christopher O. Green (green@fr.com)

Fish & Richardson, P.C.

1180 Peachtree Street, NE

21st Floor

Atlanta, GA 30309

Melanie K. Sharp (No. 2501)

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

The Brandywine Building, 17th Floor

1000 West Street

Wilmington, DE 19801

P.O. Box 391

Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391

(302) 571-6681

msharp@ycst.com

DB02:5936868.1 065251.1001